Blue Carbon Accounting Protocols

Igino Emmer PhD Silvestrum



MINISTÈRE DES AFFAIRES ÉTRANGÈRES ET DU DÉVELOPPEMENT INTERNATIONAL



Seminar Blue carbon – what scope for mitigation projects currently? June 9th 2015, Paris



Contents

 Methodology requirements under carbon standards



GHG accounting of coastal wetlands
 restoration / conservation





Wetlands GHG accounting under the UNFCCC and in voluntary markets

- UNFCCC
 - National inventories
 - Intended Nationally Determined Contributions (INDCs)
 - IPCC wetlands supplement
- Voluntary Markets
 - Principles taken from ISO 14064
 - Detailed requirements and guidance for project design and GHG accounting
 - Procedures for validation and verification
 - A registry and clearing house for 'carbon credits'





Verified Carbon Standard

- Afforestation, Reforestation, Revegetation (ARR)
- Agricultural Land Management (ALM)
- Improved Forest Management (IFM)
- Reduction Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation (REDD)
- Avoided Conversion of Grasslands and Shrublands (ACoGS)
- Wetlands Restoration and Conservation (WRC)



Typical project activities

- Conservation of mangrove vegetation and soil: REDD + WRC
- Improved management of mangroves: IFM +WRC
- Restoring mangroves incl. hydrology and soil: ARR + WRC
- Restoration or conservation of natural vegetation of wetlands: ARR or ACOGS + WRC
- Restoration of sediment supply on tidal marshes: WRC





VCS AFOLU Requirements

- Project Requirements
- Methodology
 Requirements
- Validation Verification Requirements



Enabling the valuation of blue carbon

WRC Requirements

Methodology Development

Project Development

GHG Emission Reductions and Removals



Project accounting and documentation

- <u>Methodologies</u> are step-by-step explanations of how emission reductions or removals are to be estimated in line with the requirements following accepted scientific good practice
- <u>Project description</u> or design documents provide information on how a specific project complies with the requirements and applies the methodology



General Methodology Requirements

- Project boundaries
 - Geographical temporal carbon pools GHGs
- Baseline scenarios and additionality
- Baseline GHG accounting
- Project GHG accounting including leakage
- Permanence
- Monitoring protocol

Project versus baseline scenarios

Figure 1. Four Hypothetical Baseline Scenarios that Illustrate the Net Positive Impacts of a Project



WRC Methodology Requirements

- Hydrology
 - Water table
 - Sediment transport
 - Hydrological connectivity
 - Sea level rise
- Organic soils
- CH₄ and N₂O
- Leakage: ecological leakage
- Permanence (100 years)



Content of methodologies

- Applicability conditions
 - Relate procedures provides to specific project circumstances
- Project boundaries
 - Geographical temporal carbon pools GHGs

in states and the state of the state is

- Baseline scenarios and additionality
- Baseline GHG accounting
- Project GHG accounting including leakage
- Permanence
- Monitoring protocol



Project (Design) Document

- Shows how the methodology is applied
 Chapters +/- as in methodology
- Identifies the Project Proponent with title to the carbon credits issued
 - 'Right of use'
 - National public law and procedures, and private law
- Environmental and social impacts and their mitigation

Additionality

i.e. the project would not have happened without the intervention of the carbon market, based on an analysis of barriers to implementation of the project activity

Project method

1.

- a. Identification of alternative baseline scenarios
- b. Regulatory surplus test
- c. Common practice test
- d. Implementation barrier test
- 2. Standardized method
 - a. Performance method benchmarks
 - b. Activity method
 - Activity penetration = low adoption rate
 - Financial viability = less financially attractive
 - Revenue streams = no other significant revenues



GHG accounting

- Spatial and temporal dimensions
- Direct measurements (fluxes) or
- Proxies
 - Carbon stock changes
 - Water level
 - Salinity and others...
- Leakage
- Uncertainty versus conservativeness
 - Avoid complex/expensive measurements by conservatively neglecting pools and fluxes and/or using default factor



Poorly constrained issues in coastal wetlands

- Origin and fate of carbon
 - Allochthonous carbon sources
 - Fate of eroded carbon pools
- Trace gas emissions

Solutions for GHG accounting can be found in conservative default values and proxies



Allochthonous carbon

- What portion of the carbon in tidal wetland soils is imported from outside the wetland and not a result of the project intervention?
- Percentage of carbon stock derived from allochthonous soil organic carbon assessed from:
 - Published values
 - Field-collected data
 - Default factors
 - Modeling

Fate of carbon in inundating tidal wetlands

The consequences of submergence of project area due to sea level rise are:

- Carbon stocks from aboveground biomass are lost to oxidation
- Soil carbon:
 - Drowning out: the loss of SOC can be assumed to be insignificant.

The second of a second size of the first state in the

Wave action: sediment will erode and carbon will be removed.
 Applicable to both project and baseline scenarios.

When carbon erodes:

- Project: conservatively assume that all carbon is oxidized.
- Baseline: assuming that all carbon is oxidized (and consequently claim all carbon conserved) is not conservative.



Methane & Nitrous Oxide

- Wetlands emit CH₄ and N₂O can this undermine carbon crediting?
- Science: CH₄ emissions are low at high salinity. Nitrous oxide emissions are low if nitrate loading is low.
- Conservative Approach: Assume zero baseline emissions. Measure project emissions at times and places where they will be highest.
- Assessment based on:
 - Proxy-based approaches
 - Published values
 - Field-collected data
 - Default factors
 - Modeling



Guidance on GHG accounting

- Methodologies
 - CDM A/R Mangrove restoration methodology
 - Methodology for Coastal Wetland Creation
 - GHG Accounting Methods for Tidal Wetlands and Seagrass Restoration (under development)
 - Extension of a REDD+ modular methodology including tidal wetlands restoration and conservation (under development)
- Blue Carbon as an Ecosystem Service User Manual (RAR, Silvestrum – expected June 2015)
- Guiding Principles for Delivering Coastal Wetland Carbon Projects (UNEP, CIFOR 2014)
- Coastal Blue Carbon methods for assessing carbon stocks and emissions factors in mangroves, tidal salt marshes, and seagrass meadows (CI, IUCN 2014)



- State of Knowledge on Coastal Blue Carbon
- Lessons Learned from Previous
 Projects
- Planning a Blue Carbon Project

COASTAL BLUE CARBON

methods for assessing carbon stocks and emissions factors in mangroves, tidal salt marshes, and seagrass meadows







- Why Measure Carbon Stocks
- Conceptualizing the Project and Developing a Field Measurement Plan
- Field Sampling of Soil Carbon Pools in Coastal Ecosystems
- Field Sampling of Vegetative Carbon Pools in Coastal Ecosystems
- How to Estimate Carbon Dioxide Emissions
- Remote Sensing and Mapping
 - Data Management

Coastal Blue Carbon in Practice:

A Manual for using the VCS Tidal Wetland and Seagrass Restoration Methodology





- Carbon Asset (Credit) Generation in the Land-Use and Coastal Wetland Sectors
- Wetlands Restoration and Conservation (WRC) under the VCS: Key Eligibility Considerations
- First Project Steps: Feasibility Assessment, Site Selection and Prioritization
- Using the Tidal Wetland and Seagrass Restoration Methodology
- Grouped Projects: Applicability and Recommendation





igino.emmer@silvestrum.com

